arXiv:0902.4074v1 [math.RT] 24 Feb 2009

WHITTAKER MODULES FOR THE TWISTED HEISENBERG-VIRASORO ALGEBRA

DONG LIU, YUZHU WU, AND LINSHENG ZHU

Abstract. We define Whittaker modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and obtain analogues to several results from the classical setting, including a classification of simple Whittaker modules by central characters.

1. Introduction

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra has been first studied by Arbarello et al. in [1], where a connection is established between the second cohomology of certain moduli spaces of curves and the second cohomology of the Lie algebra of differential operators of order at most one:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{f(t)\frac{d}{dt} + g(t) | f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\}.$$

Moreover, the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra has some relations with the full-toroidal Lie algebras and the $N = 2$ Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra, which is one of the most important algebraic objects realized in superstring theory. The structure of the irreducible representations for $\mathcal{L}$ was studied in [1],[4] and [10]. The irreducible weight modules were classified in [15] and [13].

In this paper we study a class of modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. Whittaker modules were first discovered for $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Arnal and Pinzcon [2]. In [11], Kostant defined Whittaker modules for an arbitrary finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and showed that these modules, up to isomorphism, are in bijective correspondence with ideals of the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, irreducible Whittaker modules correspond to maximal ideals of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. In the quantum setting, Whittaker modules have been studied for $\mathcal{U}_h(\mathfrak{g})$ in [20] and for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in [18]. Recently Whittaker modules have also been studied by for the Virasoro algebra in [19],

---

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B68; 17B10.

for the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra in [23], for Heisenberg algebras in [7], for generalized Weyl algebras in [5], for the W-algebra $W(2,2)$ in [22], and for the super Virasoro algebra in [14].

We note that the center of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra is 4-dimensional, which is different from the above algebras whose centers are all one-dimensional. So our construction is new and it also offers to construct too much Wittaker modules, although some calculations of this paper are similar as that in [18] and [23].

Throughout the paper, we shall use $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ to denote the sets of the complex numbers, the integers, the positive integers and the nonnegative integers, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z_1, z_2, z_3, L_k, I_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_k, L_j] &= (j-k)L_{k+j} + \delta_{j,-k}\frac{k^3-k}{12}z_1;\\
[L_k, I_j] &= jI_{k+j} + \delta_{j,-k}(k^2-k)z_2;\\
[I_k, I_j] &= \delta_{j,-k}kz_3;\\
[z_i, \mathcal{V}] &= 0, i = 1,2,3.
\end{aligned}$$

We will make use of the following subalgebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}^+ &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_1, I_1, L_2, I_2, \ldots\}\\
\mathfrak{n}^- &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_{-1}, I_{-1}, L_{-2}, I_{-2}, \ldots\}\\
\mathfrak{h} &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z_1, z_2, z_3, L_0, I_0\}\\
\mathfrak{b}^- &= \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}\\
\mathfrak{b}^+ &= \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+
\end{aligned}$$

Let $S(z)$ be the symmetric algebra generated by $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_0 = I_0$, that is, polynomials in z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3. Then $S(z)$ is evidently contained in $Z(\mathcal{V})$, the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{V})$.

2.1. Partitions and Pseudopartitions.

The following notation for partitions and pseudopartitions will be used to describe bases for $U(\mathcal{V})$ and for Whittaker modules.

We define a *partition* μ to be a non-decreasing sequence of positive integers $\mu = (0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_r)$. A *pseudopartition* λ is a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers

$$\lambda = (0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_s). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ represent the set of partitions, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the set of pseudopartitions. Then $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

We also introduce an alternative notation for partitions and pseudopartitions. For $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, write

$$\lambda = (0^{\lambda(0)}, 1^{\lambda(1)}, 2^{\lambda(2)}, \ldots), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lambda(k)$ is the number of times k appears in the pseudopartition and $\lambda(k) = 0$ for k sufficiently large. Then a pseudopartition λ is a partition exactly when $\lambda(0) = 0$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_s \quad \text{(the size of } \lambda) \\ \#(\lambda) &= \lambda(0) + \lambda(1) + \cdots \quad \text{(the \# of parts of } \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

$$|\mu + \lambda| = |\mu| + |\lambda|$$

For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, define elements $I_{-\mu}, L_{-\lambda} \in U(\mathcal{V})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-\mu} &= I_{-\mu_1} I_{-\mu_2} \cdots I_{-\mu_r} = \cdots I_{-2}^{\mu(2)} I_{-1}^{\mu(1)} \\ L_{-\lambda} &= L_{-\lambda_s} \cdots L_{-\lambda_2} L_{-\lambda_1} = \cdots L_{-1}^{\lambda(1)} L_0^{\lambda(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\overline{0} = (0^0, 1^0, 2^0, \ldots)$, and write $L_{\overline{0}} = 1 \in U(\mathcal{V})$. We will consider $\overline{0}$ to be an element of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ but not of $\mathcal{P}$. For any $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p(z) \in S(z)$, $p(z) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} \in U(\mathcal{V})_{-|\lambda+\mu|}$, where $U(\mathcal{V})_{-|\lambda+\mu|}$ is the $-|\lambda + \mu|$-weight space of $U(\mathcal{V})$ under the adjoint action.

2.2. **Whittaker Modules.** In the classical setting of a finite-dimensional complex semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$, a Whittaker module is defined in terms of an algebra homomorphism from the positive nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^+$ to $\mathbb{C}$ (see [11]). This homomorphism is required to be *nonsingular*, meaning that it takes nonzero values on the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}^+$.

In the present setting, the elements $L_1, L_2, I_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^+$ generate $\mathfrak{n}^+$. Thus we assume that $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism such that $\psi(L_1), \psi(L_2), \psi(I_1) \neq 0$. We retain this assumption until to section 5. The commutator relations in the definition of $\mathcal{V}$ force

$$\psi(L_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 3, \quad \psi(I_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 2.$$

For a $\mathcal{V}$-module W, a vector $w \in V$ is a *Whittaker vector* if $xw = \psi(x)w$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. A $\mathcal{V}$-module W is a *Whittaker module* if there is a Whittaker vector $w \in W$ which generates W. For a given $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$, define $\mathbb{C}_\psi$ to be the one-dimensional $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module given by the action $x\alpha = \psi(x)\alpha$ for $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The *universal* Whittaker module M_ψ is given by

$$M_\psi = U(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\psi.$$

We use the term universal to refer to the property in Lemma 2.1(i) below. Let $w = 1 \otimes 1 \in M_\psi$. By the PBW theorem $U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ has a basis $\{z^t L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} \,|\, (\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{P}, t \in \mathbb{N}^4\}$, where $z^t = z_0^{t_0} z_1^{t_1} z_2^{t_2} z_3^{t_3}$, $t = (t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{N}^4$. Thus M_ψ has a basis

$$\{z^t L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} w \mid (\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{P}, t \in \mathbb{N}^4\} \tag{2.1}$$

and $uw \neq 0$ whenever $0 \neq u \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. Define the *degree* of $z^t L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} w$ to be $|\lambda + \mu|$. For any $0 \neq v \in M_\psi$, define $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v)$ to be the maximum degree of any nonzero component of homogeneous degree, and define $\operatorname{maxdeg}(0) = -\infty$. Define $\max_{L_0}(v)$ to be the maximum value $\lambda(0)$ for any term $z^t L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} w$ with nonzero coefficient.

For $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, define

$$L_{\psi,\xi} = M_\psi / \sum_{i=0}^{3} (z_i - \xi_i 1) M_\psi,$$

and let $\overline{\cdot} : M_\psi \to L_{\psi,\xi}$ be the canonical homomorphism. Similar the Lemma in [19], we have

Lemma 2.1. *Fix ψ and M_ψ as above.*

(i) *Let V be a Whittaker module of type ψ with cyclic Whittaker vector w_V. Then there is a surjective map $\varphi : M_\psi \to V$ taking $w = 1 \otimes 1$ to w_V.*

(ii) *Let M be a Whittaker module of type ψ with cyclic Whittaker vector w_M, and suppose that for any Whittaker module V of type ψ with cyclic Whittaker vector w_V there exists a surjective homomorphism $\theta : M \to V$ with $\theta(w_M) = w_V$. Then $M \cong M_\psi$.*

□

3. WHITTAKER VECTORS IN M_ψ AND $L_{\psi,\xi}$

Fix $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\psi(L_1), \psi(L_2), \psi(I_1) \neq 0$, and let $w = 1 \otimes 1 \in M_\psi$ as before. In this section we shall determine the Whittaker vectors in the modules M_ψ and $L_{\psi,\xi}$.

For any $w' \in M_\psi$, $w' = uw$ for some $u \in U(\mathfrak{b}^+)$, we have

$$(L_n - \psi(L_n))w' = [L_n, u]w, \quad (I_n - \psi(I_n))w' = [I_n, u]w.$$

If w' is a Whittaker vector, then

$$(L_n - \psi(L_n))w' = (I_n - \psi(I_n))w' = 0.$$

Lemma 3.1. [18] *Define* M_ψ *and* $w = 1 \otimes 1 \in M_\psi$ *as above, and let* $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$[L_{k+2}, L_{-k}^a]w = v - a(2k+2)\psi(L_2)L_{-k}^{a-1}w,$$

such that $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < k(a-1)$ *if* $k > 0$, *and* $\max_{L_0}(v) < a-1$ *if* $k = 0$.

Lemma 3.2.

(i) *For* $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, *we have*

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}([I_m, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w) \le |\lambda + \mu| - m + 1.$$

(ii) $[I_{k+1}, L_{-k}^a I_{-\mu}] = v - a(k+1)\psi(I_1)I_{-\mu}L_{-k}^{a-1}$, *where* $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < (a-1)k$ *if* $k > 0$, $\max_{L_0}(v) < a-1$ *if* $k = 0$.

(iii) *Suppose* $\lambda = (0^{\lambda(0)}, 1^{\lambda(1)}, 2^{\lambda(2)}, \cdots)$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *is the minimal such that* $\lambda(k) \neq 0$, *then*

$$[I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w = v - (k+1)\lambda(k)\psi(I_1)L_{-\lambda'}I_{-\mu}w,$$

where if $k = 0$ *then* $v = v' + v''$ *with* $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v') < |\lambda| - k$ *and* $\max_{L_0}(v'') < \lambda(k) - 1$, *if* $k > 0$ *then* $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < |\lambda| - k$; λ' *satisfies* $\lambda'(k) = \lambda(k) - 1$, $\lambda'(i) = \lambda(i)$ *for all* $i > k$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_r)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_s)$. Note that $[E_m, -]$ is a derivation of $U(\mathcal{V})$ for any $E_m = L_m$ or I_m, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[I_m, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}] = &\sum_{i=1}^{r} L_{-\lambda_r} \cdots [I_m, L_{-\lambda_i}] \cdots L_{-\lambda_1} I_{-\mu} \\
+ &\sum_{j=1}^{s} L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu_r} \cdots [I_m, I_{-\mu_j}] \cdots I_{-\mu_1} \\
= &\sum p_{\lambda', \mu', n}(z_1) L_{-\lambda'} I_{-\mu'} I_n \\
+ &\; p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu''},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda' \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$-|\lambda' + \mu'| + n = -|\lambda + \mu| + m = -|\lambda + \mu''|.$$

Then

$$\text{maxdeg}\,([I_m, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w) = \text{maxdeg}\,(\sum p_{\lambda',n}(z) L_{-\lambda'} I_{-\mu} I_n w) \leq |\lambda + \mu| - m + 1$$

since $I_n w = \psi(I_n) w = 0$ for $n > 1$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & [I_{k+1}, L_{-k}^a I_{-\mu}] \\ = \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} L_{-k}^i [I_{k+1}, L_{-k}] L_{-k}^{a-i-1} I_{-\mu} + p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda}^a I_{-\mu''} \\ = \quad & -(k+1) \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} L_{-k}^i I_1 L_{-k}^{a-i-1} I_{-\mu} + p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda}^a I_{-\mu''}, \end{aligned}$$

where $-|\mu| + k + 1 = -|\mu''|$.

We write $[I_{k+1}, L_{-k}^a I_{-\mu}]$ a linear combination of basis of $U(\mathcal{V})$:

$$[I_{k+1}, L_{-k}^a I_{-\mu}] = p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda}^a I_{-\mu''} + \sum p_{\lambda,\mu,n}(z) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} I_n - (k+1) a p_{\lambda,\mu,1}(z) L_{-k}^{a-1} I_{-\mu} I_1,$$

where if $k = 0$, then $n = 1$ and for each $p_{\lambda,\mu,1}(z) \neq 0$, $L_{-\lambda} = L_0^l$ for some $l < a - 1$; if $k > 0$ then $n \leq 0$ and $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies $|\lambda| - n = (a-1)k - 1$. Thus (ii) follows.

(iii) Denote $L_{-\lambda} = L_{-\lambda'} L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)}$, where $\lambda'(i) = \lambda(i)$ for all $i \neq k$ and $\lambda'(k) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}] w = [I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda'} L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)}] I_{-\mu} w + p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu''} w \\ = \quad & [I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda'}] L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)} I_{-\mu} w + L_{-\lambda'} [I_{k+1}, L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)}] I_{-\mu} w + p'(z_3) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu''} w, \end{aligned}$$

where $-|\mu| + k + 1 = -|\mu''|$.

We say that the degree of the first and the last summands are strictly smaller than $|\lambda| - k$. In fact, if $\lambda' = \bar{0}$ then

$$\text{maxdeg}\,([I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda'}] L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)} I_{-\mu} w) = -\infty;$$

If $\lambda' \neq \bar{0}$, suppose d is the minimal such that $\lambda'(d) \neq 0$, then $d \geq k + 1$ by the assumption of k, Therefore

$$\text{maxdeg}\,([I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda'}] L_{-k}^{\lambda(k)} I_{-\mu} w) = |\lambda' + \mu| - (k+1) + k\lambda(k) = |\lambda + \mu| - (k+1).$$

By applying (ii) to the second summand, we complete the proof of (iii). □

Lemma 3.3. *For any* $(\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{P}$, $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

(i) $\operatorname{maxdeg}([L_m, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w) \leq |\lambda + \mu| - m + 2$;

(ii) *If* $\mu(i) = \lambda(i) = 0$ *for all* $0 \leq i \leq k$, *then*

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}([L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w) \leq |\lambda + \mu| - k - 1;$$

(iii) *If* $\mu(j) = \lambda(i) = 0$ *for all* $0 \leq i \leq k$, $0 \leq j < k$ *and* $\mu(k) \neq 0$, *then*

$$[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w = v - k\psi(I_1)\mu(k) L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu'},$$

where $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < |\lambda + \mu| - k$ *and* μ' *satisfies that* $\mu'(i) = \mu(i)$ *for all* $i \neq k$ *and* $\mu'(k) = \mu(k) - 1$.

Proof. To prove (i), we write $[L_m, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]$ a linear combination of the basis of $U(\mathcal{V})$:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}] &= \sum_{\lambda', \mu'} p_{\lambda', \mu'}(z) L_{-\lambda'} I_{-\mu'} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda'', \mu'', n, E_n} p_{\lambda'', \mu'', n}(z) L_{-\lambda''} I_{-\mu''} E_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda', \mu', \lambda'', \mu'', n$ satisfy $-|\mu' + \lambda'| = -|\mu'' + \lambda''| + n = -|\mu + \lambda| + m$, $E_n = L_n$ or I_n. Since $L_i w = I_j w = 0$ for $i > 2, j > 1$, the first inequality of (i) follows from above equality. Similarly, we can get the second inequality.

To prove (ii), note first that if $(\lambda, \mu) = (\bar{0}, \underline{0})$ then

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}([L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w) = -\infty,$$

we suppose $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (\bar{0}, \underline{0})$ and write

$$L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} = L_{-\lambda_r} \cdots L_{-\lambda_1} I_{-\mu_s} \cdots I_{-\mu_1}.$$

Since $k < \mu_j, \lambda_i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq a \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}] \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-),$$

and

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}([L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu}]w) = |\mu + \lambda| - k - 1.$$

So (ii) holds.

With respect to (iii), noting

$$\begin{aligned}[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}] &= L_{-\lambda}[L_{k+1}, I_{-\mu'}]I_{-k}^{\mu(k)} \\ &\quad +L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu'}[L_{k+1}, I_{-k}^{\mu(k)}] \\ &\quad +[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}]I_{-\mu'}I_{-k}^{\mu(k)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mu'(k) = 0, \mu'(i) = \mu(i)$ for $i \neq k$. By assumption about k, we see that $[L_{k+1}, I_{-\mu'}]$, $[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}] \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$, $[L_{k+1}, I_{-k}^{\mu(k)}] = -k\mu(k)I_{-k}^{\mu(k)-1}I_1$, and

$$\text{maxdeg}\,(L_{-\lambda}[L_{k+1}, I_{-\mu'}]I_{-k}^{\mu(k)}w) \leq |\mu + \lambda| - k - 1,$$

$$\text{maxdeg}\,([L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}]I_{-\mu'}I_{-k}^{\mu(k)}w) \leq |\mu + \lambda| - k - 1,$$

$$L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu'}[L_{k+1}, I_{-k}^{\mu(k)}]w = -k\mu(k)\psi(I_1)L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu''}w,$$

where $\mu'' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mu''(k) = \mu(k)-1, \mu''(i) = \mu(i)$ for all $i \neq k$. Thus (iii) holds. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let M_ψ be a universal Whittaker module for $\mathcal{V}$, generated by the Whittaker vector $w = 1 \otimes 1$. If $w' \in M_\psi$ is a Whittaker vector, then $w' = p(z)w$ for some $p(z) \in S(z)$.*

Proof. Let $w' \in M_\psi$ be an arbitrary vector. By (2.1),

$$w' = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\in(\tilde{\mathcal{P}},\mathcal{P})} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}w$$

for some polynomials $p_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \in S(z)$. We will show that if there is $\lambda \neq \overline{0} = (0^0, 1^0, 2^0, \ldots)$ or $\mu \neq \underline{0} = (1^0, 2^0, \ldots)$ such that $p_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \neq 0$, then there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $(L_m - \psi(L_m))w' \neq 0$ or $(I_m - \psi(I_m))w' \neq 0$. In this case w' is not a Whittaker vector, which proves the result.

Let $N = \max\{|\lambda + \mu| \mid p_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \neq 0\}$, and define $\Lambda_N = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{P} \mid p_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \neq 0, |\lambda + \mu| = N\}$.

Assume $N > 0$. Set

$$k := min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+|\mu(n) \neq 0 \ or \ \lambda(n) \neq 0 \ for \ some \ (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_N\}.$$

Case I. k satisfies $\lambda(k) \neq 0$ for some $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_N$. By Lemma 3.1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_{k+1} - \psi(I_{k+1}))w' \\ = & \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w \\ & + \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N \\ \lambda(k)=0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w \\ & + \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N \\ \lambda(k)\neq0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.2 (i) to the first summand, we know that the degree of it is strictly smaller than $N - k$. As for the second summand, note that $\lambda(i) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$[I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}] = [I_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}]I_{-\mu} + L_{-\lambda}[I_{k+1}, I_{-\mu}] \in \mathcal{S}(z)U(\mathcal{V}^-),$$

thus the degree of it is also strictly smaller than $N - k$. Now using Lemma 3.2 (iii) to the third summand, we know it has form:

$$v - \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N \\ \lambda(k)\neq0}} (k+1)\lambda(k)\psi(I_1)p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}w,$$

where if $k = 0$ then $v = v' + v''$ such that maxdeg $(v') < N - k$ and $\max_{L_0}(v'') < \lambda(0) - 1$; if $k > 0$ then maxdeg $(v) < N - k$. λ' satisfies $\lambda'(k) = \lambda(k) - 1, \lambda'(i) = \lambda(i)$ for all $i > k$. Thus the degree of the third summand is $N - k$, which implies $(I_{k+1} - \psi(I_{k+1}))w' \neq 0$.

Case II. k satisfies $\mu(k) \neq 0, \lambda(k) = 0$ for some $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_N$.

For convenience, we denote $w' = {w'}_1 + {w'}_2$ such that

$${w'}_1 = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}w,$$

$${w'}_2 = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}w.$$

We will find some element $E_m \in \mathcal{V}^+$ such that $(E_m - \psi(E_m))w' \neq 0$ according to the following subcases:

Subcase 1. $\operatorname{maxdeg}(w'_1) < N-1$. In this subcase,

$$(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_1 = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w.$$

By the first inequality of Lemma 3.3 (i), we have

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}((L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_1) < (N-1)-(k+1)+2 = N-k.$$

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_2 &= \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)=0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w\\ &\quad+\sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)\neq0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[L_{k+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w.\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.3 (ii) to the first summand and (iii) to the second, we have

$$(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w_2^{'} = v - \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)\neq0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)\mu(k)k\psi(I_1)I_{-\mu'}L_{-\lambda}w,$$

where $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < N-k$, μ' satisfies that $\mu'(i)=\mu(i)$ for all $i\neq k$ and $\mu'(k)=\mu(k)-1$. Thus, we have

$$(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w' = (L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_1 + (L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_2 \neq 0$$

Subcase 2. $\operatorname{maxdeg}(w'_1) = N-1$ and $\lambda=\bar{0}$ for any (λ,μ) with $p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)\neq0$. In this subcase,

$$w'_1 = \sum_{(\bar{0},\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)I_{-\mu}w,$$

$$w'_2 = \sum_{(\bar{0},\mu)\in\Lambda_N} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)I_{-\mu}w.$$

Hence

$$(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_1 = \sum_{(\bar{0},\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)[L_{k+1}, I_{-\mu}]w,$$

and by the second inequality of Lemma 3.3 (i), we have

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}((L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w'_1) < N-k;$$

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w_2' &= \sum_{\substack{(\bar{0},\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)=0}} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)[L_{k+1},I_{-\mu}]w \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\bar{0},\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)\neq 0}} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)[L_{k+1},I_{-\mu}]w\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 3.3 (ii) and (iii),

$$(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w_2' = v - \sum_{\substack{(\bar{0},\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \mu(k)\neq 0}} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)k\mu(k)\psi(I_1)I_{-\mu'}w,$$

where $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < N-k$ and μ' satisfies $\mu'(i)=\mu(i)$ for all $i\neq k$ and $\mu'(k)=\mu(k)-1$. Thus $\operatorname{maxdeg}((L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w) = N-k$ and $(L_{k+1}-\psi(L_{k+1}))w\neq 0$.

Subcase 3. $\operatorname{maxdeg}(w_1') = N-1$, $\lambda=\bar{0}$ for any $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N$ and $\lambda\neq\bar{0}$ for some (λ,μ) such that $(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N$ with $p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)\neq 0$. Suppose that l is the minimal such that $\lambda(l)\neq 0$ for some (λ,μ) which satisfies $(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N$ and $p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)\neq 0$. Let $N' = \{max|\mu+\lambda||(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N, \lambda(l)\neq 0, p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)\neq 0\}$. Then by Lemma 3.2 (iii) we have

$$(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_1' = v - \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N\\ |\mu+|\lambda|=N'\\ \lambda(l)\neq 0}} (l+1)\lambda(l)\psi(I_1)p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)I_{-\mu}L_{\lambda'}w,$$

where $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < N'-l$, λ' satisfies that $\lambda'(i)=\lambda(i)$ for all $i\neq l$ and $\lambda'(l)=\lambda(l)-1$. It is clear that

$$(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_2' = 0$$

since $w_2' = \sum_{(\bar{0},\mu)\in\Lambda_N} p_{\bar{0},\mu}(z)I_{-\mu}w$ and $[I_{l+1},I_{-\mu}]=0$. Thus

$$(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w'\neq 0.$$

Subcase 4. $\operatorname{maxdeg}(w_1') = N-1$ and there exists some $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N$ such that $\lambda\neq\bar{0}$. In this subcase we suppose l is the minimal such that $\lambda(l)\neq 0$ for some $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N$. Then $l>k$ according to the assumption about k. Since

$$(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_1' = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\notin\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[I_{l+1},L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w,$$

by Lemma 3.2 (i) we have

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}((I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_1') \leq (N-1)-l.$$

By Lemma 3.2 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_2' &= \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)[I_{l+1}, L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}]w \\ &= v - \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_N\\ \lambda(l)\neq 0}} p_{\lambda,\mu}(z)(l+1)\psi(I_1)\lambda(l)I_{-\mu}L_{-\lambda'}w,\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{maxdeg}(v) < N-l$, λ' satisfies that $\lambda'(i) = \lambda(i)$ for all $i \neq l$ and $\lambda'(l) = \lambda(l)-1$. Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{maxdeg}((I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_2') = N-l$$

and

$$(I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w' = (I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_1' + (I_{l+1}-\psi(I_{l+1}))w_2' \neq 0.$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let $w = 1\otimes 1 \in M_\psi$ and $\overline{w} = \overline{1\otimes 1} \in L_{\psi,\xi}$. If $w' \in L_{\psi,\xi}$ is a Whittaker vector, then $w' = c\overline{w}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Note that the set $\{L_{-\lambda}I_{-\mu}\overline{w} \mid (\lambda,\mu) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P})\}$ is a basis for $L_{\psi,\xi}$. With this fact now established, it is possible to use essentially the same argument as in Proposition 3.4 to complete the proof. However, we simply replace the polynomials $p_\lambda(z)$ in z with scalars p_λ whenever necessary. □

4. Simple Whittaker Modules

By Proposition 3.5, we shall show that the modules $L_{\psi,\xi}$ are simple and form a complete set of simple Whittaker modules, up to isomorphism.

Fix an algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ and a Whittaker module V of type ψ. We may regard V as an $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module by restriction. Define a modified action of $\mathfrak{n}^+$ on V (denoted by $\cdot$) by setting $x\cdot v = xv - \psi(x)v$ for $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$ and $v \in V$. Thus if we regard a Whittaker module V as an $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module under the dot action, it follows that $E_n \cdot v = E_n v - \psi(E_n)v$ for $E_n = L_n$ or I_n, $n > 0$ and $v \in V$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $n > 0$, then L_n and I_n are locally nilpotent on V under the dot action.*

Proof. By direction calculation. □

By straightfordwork calculation, we have the following lemma

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}^4$.*

(i) *For all* $n > 0$, $E_n \cdot (z^t L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} w) \in span_{\mathbb{C}}\{z^{t'} L_{-\lambda'} I_{-\mu'} w \mid |\mu' + \lambda'| + \lambda'(0) \leq |\mu + \lambda| + \lambda(0)\}$.

(ii) *If* $n > |\lambda + \mu| + 2$, *then* $E_n \cdot (L_{-\lambda} I_{-\mu} w) = 0$.

□

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose* V *is a Whittaker module for* $\mathcal{V}$, *and let* $v \in V$. *Regarding* V *as an* $\mathfrak{n}^+$*-module under the dot action,* $U(\mathfrak{n}^+) \cdot v$ *is a finite-dimensional submodule of* V.

Proof. This is the direct result of Lemma 4.2. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let* V *be a Whittaker module for* $\mathcal{V}$, *and let* $S \subseteq V$ *be a submodule. Then there is a nonzero Whittaker vector* $w' \in S$.

Proof. Regard V as an $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module under the dot-action. Let $0 \neq v \in S$, and let F be the submodule of S generated by v under the dot-action of $\mathfrak{n}^+$. By Lemma 4.3, F is a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module. Since Lemma 4.2 implies that $E_n \cdot F = 0$ for sufficiently large n, the quotient of $\mathfrak{n}^+$ by the kernel of this action is also finite-dimensional. Note that E_n is locally nilpotent on V (and thus on F) under this action by Lemma 4.1. Thus Engel's Theorem implies that there exists a nonzero $w' \in F \subseteq S$ such that $x \cdot w' = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. By definition of the dot-action, w' is a Whittaker vector. □

Corollary 4.5. *For any* $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^4$, $L_{\psi,\xi}$ *is simple.*

Proof. Let S be a nonzero submodule of $L_{\psi,\xi}$. Since $z \in \mathcal{V}$ acts by the scalar ξ on $L_{\psi,\xi}$, it follows from Theorem 4.4 that there is a nonzero Whittaker vector $w' \in S$. Proposition 3.5 implies that $w' = cw$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and therefore $w \in S$. Since w generates $L_{\psi,\xi}$, we have $S = L_{\psi,\xi}$. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a Lie algebra homomorphism and* $\psi(L_1), \psi(L_2), \psi(I_1) \neq 0$. *Let* S *be a simple Whittaker module of type* ψ *for* $\mathcal{V}$. *Then* $S \cong L_{\psi,\xi}$ *for some* $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^4$.

Proof. Let $w_s \in S$ be a cyclic Whittaker vector corresponding to ψ. By Schur's lemma, the center of $U(\mathcal{V})$ acts by a scalar, and this implies that there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $z_i s = \xi_i s, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ for all $s \in S$. Now by the universal property of M_ψ, there exists a module homomorphism $\varphi : M_\psi \to S$ with $uw \mapsto uw_s$. This map is surjective since

w_s generates S. But then

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{3}(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{3}(z_i-\xi_i 1)\varphi(M_\psi)=\sum_{i=0}^{3}(z_i-\xi_i 1)S=0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3}(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi\subseteq\ker\varphi\subseteq M_\psi.$$

Because $L_{\psi,\xi}$ is simple and $\ker\varphi\neq M_\psi$, this implies $\ker\varphi=\sum_{i=0}^{3}(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi$. $\square$

For a given $\psi:\mathfrak{n}^+\to\mathbb{C}$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{C}^4$, note that $L=\sum_{i=0}^{3}U(\mathcal{V})(z_i-\xi_i 1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(L_m-\psi(L_m)1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(I_m-\psi(I_m)1)\subseteq U(\mathcal{V})$ is a left ideal of $U(\mathcal{V})$. For $u\in U(\mathcal{V})$, let $\overline{u}$ denote the coset $u+L\in U(\mathcal{V})/L$. Then we may regard $U(\mathcal{V})/L$ as a Whittaker module of type ψ with cyclic Whittaker vector $\overline{1}$.

Lemma 4.7. *Fix $\psi:\mathfrak{n}^+\to\mathbb{C}$ with $\psi(L_1),\psi(L_2),\psi(I_1)\neq 0$. Define the left ideal L of $U(\mathcal{V})$ by $L=\sum U(\mathcal{V})(z_i-\xi_i 1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(L_m-\psi(L_m)1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(I_m-\psi(I_m)1)$, and regard $V=U(\mathcal{V})/L$ as a left $U(\mathcal{V})$-module. Then V is simple, and thus $V\cong L_{\psi,\xi}$.*

Proof. Note that the center of $U(\mathcal{V})$ acts by the scalar ξ on V. By the universal property of M_ψ, there exists a module homomorphism $\varphi:M_\psi\to V$ with $uw\mapsto u\overline{1}$. This map is surjective since $\overline{1}$ generates V. But then $\varphi\left(\sum(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi\right)=\sum(z_i-\xi_i 1)\varphi(M_\psi)=\sum(z_i-\xi_i 1)V=0$, so it follows that

$$\sum(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi\subseteq\ker\varphi\subseteq M_\psi.$$

Because $L_{\psi,\xi}$ is simple and $\ker\varphi\neq M_\psi$, this forces $\ker\varphi=\sum(z_i-\xi_i 1)M_\psi$. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that V is a Whittaker module of type ψ such that $z_i\in\mathcal{V}$ acts by the scalar $\xi_i\in\mathbb{C}, i=0,1,2,3$. Then V is simple. Moreover, if w is a cyclic Whittaker vector for V, $\mathrm{Ann}_{U(\mathcal{V})}(w)=\sum_{i=0}^{3}U(\mathcal{V})(z_i-\xi_i 1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(L_m-\psi(L_m)1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(I_m-\psi(I_m)1)$.*

Proof. Let K denote the kernel of the natural surjective map $U(\mathcal{V})\to V$ given by $u\mapsto uw$. Then K is a proper left ideal containing $L=\sum_{i=0}^{3}U(\mathcal{V})(z_i-\xi_i 1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(L_m-\psi_m 1)+\sum_{m>0}U(\mathcal{V})(I_m-\psi(I_m)1)$. By Lemma 4.7, L is maximal, and thus $K=L$ and $V\cong U(\mathcal{V})/L$ is simple. $\square$

Remark 1. *By Schur's Lemma, Proposition 4.8 applies to any simple Whittaker module.*

5. WHITTAKER MODULES FOR THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR ALGEBRA OF DEGREE NO MORE THAN 1

In this section, we describe the Whittaker modules for the Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{L}$ of the differential operator algebra of degree no more than 1. Recall that the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$ is the universal central extension of $\mathcal{L}$. We abuse notation and regard $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_k, I_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with Lie bracket given by

$$[L_k, L_j] = (j-k)L_{k+j},\ [I_k, I_j] = 0,\ [L_k, I_j] = jI_{k+j}$$

for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As $\mathcal{V}$ is the universal central extension of $\mathcal{L}$, there is a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{L}$ with $\ker\rho = \mathbb{C}\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$. This map extends to a surjective homomorphism $U(\mathcal{V}) \to U(\mathcal{L})$ which we also denote by ρ.

Define the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ in the obvious manner. Since $\mathfrak{n}^+ \cong \mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}}$, we make no distinction between a homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{C}$ and a homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$. Let $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism such that $\psi(L_1), \psi(L_2), \psi(I_1) \neq 0$. A $\mathcal{L}$-module V is a *Whittaker module* if there is some $w \in V$ such that w generates V and $xw = \psi(x)w$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Fix a homomorphism* $\psi : \mathfrak{n}^+_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\psi(L_1)$, $\psi(L_2)$, $\psi(I_1) \neq 0$, *and let* V *be a nonzero Whittaker module of type* ψ *for* $\mathcal{L}$. *Then* V *is simple. Moreover,* $V \cong L_{\psi,\eta_0}$ *when* $L_{\psi,\mathbf{0}}$ *is viewed as a* $\mathcal{L}$-*module, where* $\eta_0 = (\xi_0, 0, 0, 0)$ *for some* $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $V_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the $\mathcal{V}$-module obtained by letting $x \in \mathcal{V}$ act on V by $\rho(x) \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a nonzero Whittaker module for $\mathcal{V}$, and the central element $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{V}$ act by 0s. By Proposition 4.8, we then have $V_{\mathcal{V}} \cong L_{\psi,\eta_0}$ for some $\eta_0 = (\xi_0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. As $V_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the pullback of V and $\rho : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{L}$ is surjective, we conclude that V must also be simple.

To check that L_{ψ,η_0} can be viewed at a $\mathcal{L}$-module, we note that $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{V}}(L_{\psi,\eta_0})$. Therefore, the action of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{V}/\mathbb{C}\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ is well-defined. Since $V_{\mathcal{V}} \cong L_{\psi,\eta_0}$ as $\mathcal{V}$ modules, we must have $V \cong L_{\psi,\eta_0}$ as $\mathcal{L}$-modules. □

6. A CLASS OF NEW MODULE SIMILAR TO WHITTAKER MODULES

In this section we construct and study a family of $\mathcal{V}$-module $V_{\psi,\xi}$ which are generated by ψ-vectors. When ψ is identically zero, each of these modules has a quotient module isomorphic to a Verma module. When ψ is non-singular these are the irreducible Whittaker modules $L_{\psi,\xi}$ desired above. Otherwise $V_{\psi,\xi}$ will be new.

Let $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and let $\psi : \mathcal{V}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be any Lie algebra homomorphism. View $\mathbb{C}_{\psi,\xi} = \mathbb{C}w$ as a one-dimensional $\mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{V}^+$-module by

$$xw = \psi(x)w,\ z_0 w = \xi_1 w,\ z_1 w = \xi_2 w,\ z_2 w = \xi_3 w,\ z_3 w = \xi_4 w,$$

where $x \in \mathcal{V}^+$, $\mathcal{Z} = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{z_i | i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then we have an induce $U(\mathcal{V})$-module

$$V_{\psi,\xi} := U(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{V}^+)\mathcal{S}(z)} C_{\psi,\xi}.$$

Clearly, $V_{\psi,\xi}$ has the following facts:

(1) If ψ is non-singular, $V_{\psi,\xi}$ is the irreducible Whittaker module $L_{\psi,\xi}$.

(2) If $\psi = 0$ and V is the submodule generared by $(L_0 - \zeta)w$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, then the quotient module $V_{\psi,\xi}/V$ is the Verma module for $\mathcal{V}$.

From now on we fix a Lie algebra homomorphism ψ such that ψ is singular but not identically zero.

Theorem 6.1. *For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, $V_{\psi,\xi}$ is simple if and only if $\psi(I_1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $\psi(I_1) = 0$, the submodule V of $V_{\psi,0}$ generated by $\{I_{-n}v | n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is proper since $w \notin V$.

Now we suppose $\psi(I_1) \neq 0$. For any $0 \neq v \in V$, Write

$$v = \sum a_{\mu,\lambda,i}(z) L_\lambda I_{-\mu} w,$$

where $a_{\mu,\lambda,i}(z)$ is in the polynomial algebra of z_1, z_2. Set

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \max\{|\lambda + \mu| \mid a_{\lambda,\mu,i}(z) \neq 0\}, \\ \Lambda_N &= \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{P} \mid a_{\lambda,\mu,i}(z) \neq 0, |\lambda + \mu| = N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will use induction on N to prove that $w \in V$. If $N = 0$, then

$$v = \sum a_i(z) L_0^i w.$$

By applying the dot action of I_1 on v and using induction on i, one can prove $w \in V$.

Now assume $N > 0$. Using the same argument of Proposition 3.4, one can prove that there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $L_m \cdot v \neq 0$, $\operatorname{maxdeg}(L_m \cdot v) < N$ or $I_m \cdot v \neq 0, \operatorname{maxdeg}(I_m \cdot v) < N$. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Project is supported by the NNSF (Grant 10671027, 10701019, 10826094), the ZJZSF(Grant Y607136), Qianjiang Excellence Project of Zhejiang Province (No. 2007R10031), and NSF 08KJD110001 of Jiangsu Educational Committee.

References

[1] Arbarello, E.; DeConcini, C.; Kac, V.G.; Procesi, C., *Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory*. Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), 1-36.

[2] D. Arnal and G. Pinczon, *On algebraically irreducible representations of the Lie algebra* sl_2, J. Math. Phys. **15** (1974), 350–359.

[3] E. Backelin, *Representation of the category in Whittaker categories*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1997), no. 4, 153–172.

[4] Billig, Y., *Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero*, Canad. Math. Bull. **46** (2003), no. 4, 529–533.

[5] G. Benkart and M. Ondrus, *Whittaker modules for generalized Weyl algebras*, submitted.

[6] R. Block, *The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ *and of the Weyl algebra*, Adv. Math. **39** (1981), 69–110.

[7] K. Christodoupoulou, *Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine lie algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008) 2871-2890.

[8] B. Feĭgin and D. Fuks, *Casimir operators in modules over the Virasoro algebra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **269** (1983), no. 5, 1057–1060.

[9] V. Kac. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.

[10] Q. F. Jiang and C. P. Jiang *Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and the full toroidal Lie Algebras*, Algebra Colloquium **14** (2007)

[11] B. Kostant, *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **48** (1978), 101–184.

[12] J. Lepowsky and H. Li. *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations, volume 227 of Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[13] D. Liu and C.P. Jiang, *Harish-Chandra modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra,* J. Math. Phys.,49(1) (2008).

[14] D. Liu; Y.Pei;L.Zhu,*Whittaker modules for the super Virasoroa algebra*, preprint.

[15] R. Lu, K. Zhao, *Classification of irreducible weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra*, math-st/0510194.

[16] D. Miličić and W. Soergel, *The composition series of modules induced from Whittaker modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), pp. 503–520.

[17] R. Moody and A. Pianzola. Lie algebras with triangular decompositions. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1995.

[18] M. Ondrus, *Whittaker modules for* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, J. Algebra **289** (2005), no. 1, 192–213.

[19] M. Ondrus and E. Wiesenr, Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra, arXiv:0805.2686.

[20] A. Sevostyanov, *Quantum deformation of Whittaker modules and the Toda lattice*, Duke Math. J. **105** (2000), no. 2, 211–238.

[21] R. Shen and C. Jiang, *The Derivation Algebra and Automorphism Group of the Twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Algebra*, Commun. Alg. 34(2006), 2547-2558.

[22] B. Wang and J. Li, *Whittaker modules for the* W*-algebra* $W(2,2)$, arXiv:0902.1592.

[23] X. Zhang and S. Tan, *Whittaker modules and a class of new modules similar as Whittaker modules for the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra*, arXiv:0812.3245.

Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Zhejiang Huzhou, 313000, China
E-mail address: `liudong@hutc.zj.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Jiangsu Changshu, 215500, China
E-mail address: `yuezhuwujt@126.com`

Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Jiangsu Changshu, 215500, China
E-mail address: `lszhu@cslg.edu.cn`